Clarification of Request: Judicial Oversight re: Strategic Misuse of BPO & Custody Without Court Order



Dear Office of the Assistant Court Administrator,

Thank you for your reply. I am grateful for the opportunity to clarify my request.

Nature of the Oversight Request

I respectfully seek judicial oversight on two interrelated issues concerning **possible legal misconduct** and **procedural abuse** involving my child's custody and the use of a **Barangay Protection Order (BPO)**:

1. Misuse of Barangay Protection Order (BPO) to Violate Article 211

I request guidance on whether a **BPO can be lawfully filed or extended** in a way that **intentionally interferes with joint parental authority** under **Article 211 of the Family Code**, when:

- No violence or threat exists, and
- The BPO is used instead as a **legal shield to justify or defend an unlawful act**, such as the concealment of a child.

In my case, the child's mother admitted in a sworn statement:

"Mag-advise ang abegado nga ipa-extend ang BPO, arun ma-aware ko ug dag bata." ("My lawyer advised me to extend the BPO so I could gain awareness or control of the child.")

This suggests that the BPO was **not filed for protection**, but rather for **strategic advantage** – to interfere with lawful custody and shield the **removal of my child without due process**. If true, this may amount to **unethical advice by the lawyer** and an abuse of protective legal mechanisms.

A copy of the sworn BPO statement (highlighting the quote in question) is attached. Alternatively, you may also view it directly here:

<u>https://justice4adri.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/20250308120000-2nd-bpo-from-anngeneth-maylan-degillo-peterson-via-sacsac-barangay-lawyer-advice-line-</u>

highlighted-compressed.pdf (PDF link)

2. Custody Change by DSWD Without Court Order or Complaint

To be clear: **the actual custody change was not executed via the BPO**. It was carried out by the **Department of Social Welfare and Development (DSWD)** on **February 17, 2025**, without:

- Any court-issued custody order,
- Any verified complaint against me,
- Any lawful hearing or due process.

I have been **denied all contact with my child for over three months**, despite being the father and primary caregiver. There is **no pending case, no criminal record, no court determination of harm** – only the apparent misuse of a BPO to **justify and conceal an unlawful act.**

What I Am Asking

- 1. Does the Supreme Court, through the OCA, consider it legal and ethical for a lawyer to advise the use of a Barangay Protection Order to undermine joint parental authority or to shield custody removals done without court process?
- 2. May I formally submit a complaint for **judicial review or referral** to the Integrated Bar of the Philippines or the relevant oversight body?
- 3. Please advise the proper channel for this submission, as the main OCA email (<u>oca@judiciary.gov.ph</u>) rejected my original attempt.

I appreciate your attention to this deeply personal matter. This is not only about one family, but about the integrity of our legal institutions — to ensure that **protective laws are not weaponized**, and that **children are not taken from their parents without lawful cause or process**. I am willing to provide all supporting evidence, sworn statements, and recordings upon request.

Very respectfully, Jordan James Peterson Purok Saging, Sacsac, Bacong, Negros Oriental Email: justice4adri+oca@gmail.com Mobile: 0977-630-1152

On 5/23/25 3:59 PM, Office of Assistant Court Administrator Co wrote:

Good day.

May we be clarified of your request considering that upon perusal, your email message contain several requests? And may we also know your exact request in asking for "Judicial oversight" for the alleged misconduct committed by a lawyer in connection with Barangay Protection Order?

Thank you.

Very truly yours,



Office of Assistant Court Administrator Lilian C. Barribal-Co 3rd Floor, Supreme Court Old Building Padre Faura, Ermita, Manila Contact No.: (02) 8524 7496 / (02) 8255 0878 E-mail: <u>oaca1.sc@judiciary.gov.ph</u>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email and any attachments herein are intended solely for the addressee/s and may contain highly confidential and/or privileged information legally protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient or this message was sent to you erroneously, you are hereby notified that any use, distribution, copying, or storage of this message or any of its attachments is strictly prohibited and punishable by law.

From: Roy Daniel N. Avendaño <<u>rdnavendano.sc@judiciary.gov.ph></u>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2025 3:51 PM
To: Office of Assistant Court Administrator Co <<u>oaca1.sc@judiciary.gov.ph></u>
Subject: Fw: Request for Public Email Channel or Access to OCA Group (<u>oca@judiciary.gov.ph</u>)

Atty. Roy Daniel N. Avendaño

From: Assistant Court Administrator Lilian Barribal-Co lcbco.sc@judiciary.gov.ph>
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2025 6:45 PM
To: Roy Daniel N. Avendaño rdnavendano.sc@judiciary.gov.ph
Subject: Fw: Request for Public Email Channel or Access to OCA Group (oca@judiciary.gov.ph)

Cc: Judiciary Public Assistance Section <<u>chiefjusticehelpdesk@judiciary.gov.ph></u>; Patricia I. Ortiz <<u>piortiz.sc@judiciary.gov.ph></u>

Subject: Fw: Request for Public Email Channel or Access to OCA Group (oca@judiciary.gov.ph)

Good day!

Respectfully forwarding this email, as this matter region 7 (Negros Oriental)

Thank you,

/lpr

From: Judiciary Public Assistance Section <<u>chiefjusticehelpdesk@judiciary.gov.ph></u>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2025 3:14 PM
To: Office of the Deputy Court Administrator for Mindanao <<u>odcamnd.sc@judiciary.gov.ph></u>
Cc: Patricia I. Ortiz <<u>piortiz.sc@judiciary.gov.ph></u>

Subject: Fw: Request for Public Email Channel or Access to OCA Group (oca@judiciary.gov.ph)

Greetings!

We respectfully forward this email for your appropriate action. Kindly furnish JPAS on any action/update taken on the matter.

Thank you.

Regards,

JPAS Team Judiciary Public Assistance Section (JPAS) Supreme Court of the Philippines

From: Patricia I. Ortiz >>>

Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2025 9:47 AM

To: Public Information Office - Supreme Court of the Philippines <<u>pio.sc@judiciary.gov.ph></u>; Judiciary Public Assistance Section <<u>chiefjusticehelpdesk@judiciary.gov.ph></u>

Subject: Fw: Request for Public Email Channel or Access to OCA Group (oca@judiciary.gov.ph)

Dear PIO and JPAS,

Forwarding this email from Mr. Peterson, which I believe is intended for the Public Information Office, for appropriate action.

Thank you.

Best regards, Pat

Atty. Patricia I. Ortiz Office of Chief Justice Alexander G. Gesmundo Supreme Court Clarification of Request: Judicial Oversight re: Strategic Misuse of BPO & Custody Without Court Order

Ermita, Manila piortiz.sc@judiciary.gov.ph

Notice: This letter, document, transmission, or communication (physical, digital or electronic) may contain information which is privileged, confidential and/or protected by attorney-client privilege or attorney work product rules. If you are not the intended addressee, you are prohibited from disclosing, copying, distribution, printing, or use of this message and its contents, in whole or in part. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by e-mail or telephone immediately, and permanently delete the original and printouts thereof.

From: Jordan James Peterson <<u>justice4adri@gmail.com></u>
Sent: Monday, May 19, 2025 7:15 PM
To: PIO <<u>PIO@judiciary.gov.ph></u>
Subject: Request for Public Email Channel or Access to OCA Group (<u>oca@judiciary.gov.ph</u>)

You don't often get email from justice4adri@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

Greetings,

My name is Jordan James Peterson. I recently attempted to submit a formal request for judicial oversight to the Office of the Court Administrator (<u>oca@judiciary.gov.ph</u>), but my message was rejected with the following error:

> 550 5.7.193 – The group does not accept messages from external senders.

I am now respectfully requesting guidance on how to properly submit this oversight request.

Specifically, I ask:

 Is there a publicly accessible email address for the Office of the Court Administrator that accepts communications from individuals outside your organization?
 Alternatively, may my email address (justice4adri@gmail.com) be temporarily added as a guest member to allow submission of my formal inquiry?

The nature of my request involves possible attorney misconduct and procedural circumvention affecting parental rights via Barangay Protection Orders. I believe this matter warrants proper judicial review.

For reference, I have attached a copy of the relevant sworn BPO statement in which the party involved admits that a lawyer advised her to use the BPO process strategically. The original statement (in Bisaya) reads:

"Mag-advise ang abegado nga ipa-extend ang BPO, arun ma-aware ko ug dag bata." Translated to English:

"My lawyer advised me to extend the BPO so I could gain awareness or control of the child." Thank you for your time. I look forward to your guidance. Sincerely, Jordan James Peterson Purok Saging, Sacsac, Bacong Negros Oriental Email: justice4adri@gmail.com Mobile: 0977-630-1152

-Attachments:

2nd_BPO_Anngeneth_Maylan_Degillo_Peterson_Sacsac_Barangay_Lawyer_Adv 369 KB ice.pdf